

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,267	09/27/2001	Brian Alan Batke	01AB071	3455
7590 03/08/2005			EXAMINER	
Alexander M. Gerasimow, Esq.			NGUYEN, DUSTIN	
Rockwell Automation (Allen-Bradley Co., Inc.)			1200	
1201 South Second Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Milwaukee, WI 53204			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,267	BATKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dustin Nguyen	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication riod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the maximum property of the office later than three months after the maximum part of the maximum status of the state	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 February 2005	
	This action is non-final.	,
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	·
sposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
oplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		•••
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	*	n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	:
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the centified copies no	ot received.
achment(s)		
achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/965,267

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 18 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et al. [US Patent No 5,854,901], in view of Arndt et al. [US Patent No 5,724,510].
- 4. As per claim 1, Cole discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a method for a probing entity to detect a duplicate IP address, the method comprising:

sending one or more first ARP probes onto the network with which the probing entity desires to interact [i.e. ARP request] [52, Figure 3; and col 1, lines 56-59];

determining whether a response to the first ARP probes indicates that there is a duplicate IP address conflict [i.e. ARP response] [54, Figure 3; Abstract; and col 3, lines 54-64];

determining whether the probing entity is connected to an active network [col 5, lines 1-25];

sending one or more second ARP probes onto the network with which the probing entity desires to interact [i.e. several ARP request] [29, Figure 5; and col 4, lines 33-38]; and determining whether a response to the second ARP probes indicates that there is a duplicate IP address conflict [col 2, lines 20-28].

Cole does not specifically disclose

generating an identifying value that identifies a random period of time to wait before probing a network with which a probing entity desires to interact;

waiting a random period of time related to the identifying value.

Arndt discloses

generating an identifying value that identifies a random period of time to wait before probing a network with which a probing entity desires to interact [col 1, lines 28-34].

waiting a random period of time related to the identifying value [col 2, lines 23-33].

It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cole and Arndt because Arndt's teaching would provide a method for detecting duplicate source IP addresses without disrupting the operation of a LAN [Arndt, col 3, lines 53-55].

5. As per claim 2, Cole discloses sending ARP probes until the probing entity is connected to an active network [72, Figure 4, and col 5, lines 42-56].

Application/Control Number: 09/965,267

Art Unit: 2154

As per claim 3, Cole discloses not employing the potentially duplicate IP address until 6. after all the processing associated with claims 1 and 2 has been completed [i.e. assign] 74, Figure 4 1.

Page 4

- 7. As per claim 4, Cole does not specifically disclose wherein the length of the random period of time is generated by examining at least one of a GUID, a physical address, an IP address and a counter. Arndt discloses wherein the length of the random period of time is generated by examining at least one of a GUID, a physical address, an IP address and a counter [col 1, lines 29-34]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cole and Arndt because Arndt's teaching would prevent congestion and avoid collision for sending information in a network.
- 8. As per claim 5, Arndt discloses wherein the one or more first ARP probes contain the physical address of the probing entity and a potentially duplicate IP address [col 8, lines 13-18].
- 9. As per claim 6, Arndt discloses wherein the response to the first ARP probes contain the physical address of the probing entity, the physical address of a responding entity, the IP address of a responding entity and the potentially duplicate IP address [col 7, lines 4-14].
- As per claim 7, Arndt discloses wherein determining whether a response to the first ARP 10. probes indicates that there is a duplicate IP address conflict comprises comparing the potentially

Art Unit: 2154

duplicate IP address of the response to the potentially duplicate IP address associated with the probing entity [Abstract].

- 11. As per claim 8, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 5.
- 12. As per claim 9, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 6.
- 13. As per claim 10, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 7.
- 14. As per claim 11, Cole discloses wherein determining whether a probing entity is connected to an active network comprises at least one of (1) analyzing network traffic received by a network interface associated with the probing entity, (2) analyzing electrical signals received from hardware associated with the network with which the probing entity desires to interact and (3) analyzing BPDUS (Bridge Protocol Data Units) received by a network device associated with the network with which the probing entity desires to interact [col 8, lines 55-67].
- 15. As per claims 12-14, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1-3.
- 16. As per claim 15, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 1. Furthermore, Cole discloses a probe generator [col 5, lines 56-64].

17. As per claim 16, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 11.

18. As per claims 17 and 18, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 15.

19. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached at (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,267

Art Unit: 2154

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154